



REVIEWER FORM

Date		Reviewer's name	
Paper mark			
Paper author(s)			
Paper title			

Please select the appropriate rating, one per criterion, according to your best judgment.

Criterion / rating	Insufficient	Sufficient	Average	Good	Excellent
Paper contents (all elements of the paper, from title, abstract, overview of previous research, defined methodology, to conclusion, are clearly elaborated and contribute to understanding of the problem)					
Significance of the research					
Contribution of the paper to the theory/practice					
Originality of the paper					
Organization and presentation of the paper					
Overall impression					

Reviewer's recommendation

Accept for publishing	
Accept with minor modifications	
Accept with modifications based on recommendations	
Do not accept for publishing	

Please categorize the paper into one of these categories.

1. *Original scientific paper* contains unpublished results of original scientific research with sufficient information to be able to repeat author's observations, analyses, calculations, or theoretical inferences and take positions on his or her conclusions and results.
2. *Preliminary communication* is a scientific paper containing one or more data of new scientific research that require urgent publishing, and does not need to have sufficient information to repeat or verify the results.
3. *Review paper* contains a complete and clear analysis of published information on a particular issue or field of civil engineering that is accompanied by a critical review and recommendations for further research.
4. *Professional paper* contains contributions in civil engineering with treated valuable experiences in application of known scientific achievements, which contribute to dissemination of knowledge and adaptation of original research to the needs of practice.

I propose categorizing the paper as

Reviewer's signature
